# Bootstrapping for Approximate Homomorphic Encryption

Jung Hee Cheon, Kyoohyung Han, Andrey Kim (Seoul National University)

Miran Kim, Yongsoo Song (University of California, San Diego)

"Word Encryption" (BGV12, Bra12, FV12)

Packing & SIMD operations on GF(p<sup>d</sup>) between RLWE ciphertexts

Long latency (Bootstrapping)

"Word Encryption" (BGV12, Bra12, FV12)

Packing & SIMD operations on GF(p<sup>d</sup>) between RLWE ciphertexts

Long latency (Bootstrapping)

"Bitwise Encryption" (DM15, CGGI16)

Eval. of LUTs on {0,1}\* with bootstrapping on LWE ciphertext (<-> RLWE <- RGSW)

Large expansion rate (storage, cost)

"Word Encryption" (BGV12, Bra12, FV12)

Packing & SIMD operations on GF(p<sup>d</sup>) between RLWE ciphertexts

Long latency (Bootstrapping)

"Bitwise Encryption" (DM15, CGGI16)

Eval. of LUTs on {0,1}\* with bootstrapping on LWE ciphertext (<-> RLWE <- RGSW)

Large expansion rate (storage, cost)

"Approximate Encryption" (CKKS17)

Every approximate number contains an Error (from its unknown true value).

Consider an RLWE error as part of it.

Every approximate number contains an Error (from its unknown true value).

Consider an RLWE error as part of it.

ct = Enc (M) if  $[\langle ct, sk \rangle]_q = M + e \approx M$ .

Every approximate number contains an Error (from its unknown true value).

Consider an RLWE error as part of it.

ct = Enc (M) if  $[\langle ct, sk \rangle]_q = M + e \approx M$ .

Approximate Rounding is easy!

Every approximate number contains an Error (from its unknown true value).

Consider an RLWE error as part of it.

ct = Enc (M) if  $[\langle ct, sk \rangle]_q = M + e \approx M$ .

Approximate Rounding is easy!

```
[< ct, sk>]_{q} = M
HomRnd : ct \mapsto ct' = \lceil p^{-1} \cdot ct \rfloor
\Rightarrow [< ct', sk>]_{q/p} \approx M/p
```

 $(1.234) \times (5.678) = (1,234 \times 5,678) \times 10^{-6} = (7,006,652) \times 10^{-6} \approx (7,007) \times 10^{-3}.$ 

#### Functionality of Approximate HE

Packing Technique

- $K = Q[x]/(\Phi_m(x)), R = Z[x]/(\Phi_m(x)).$
- $\Phi_m(X) = \prod_i (x \zeta_i)$  for the primitive m-th roots of unity  $\zeta_i$ .
- Encoding map:  $(M_i)_i \mapsto M(X)$  such that  $M(\zeta_i) = M_i$

Approximate addition, multiplication, and rounding

Every homomorphic operation includes a small noise

**Evaluation of Analytic Functions** 

exp (z),

Z<sup>-1</sup>

"Word Encryption" (BGV12, Bra12, FV12)

Packing & SIMD operations on GF(p<sup>d</sup>) between RLWE ciphertexts

Long latency (Bootstrapping)

"Bitwise Encryption" (DM15, CGGI16)

Eval. of LUTs on {0,1}\* with bootstrapping on LWE ciphertext (<-> RLWE <- RGSW)

Large expansion rate (storage, cost)

"Approximate Encryption" (CKKS17)



"Word Encryption" (BGV12, Bra12, FV12)

Packing & SIMD operations on GF(p<sup>d</sup>) between RLWE ciphertexts

Long latency (Bootstrapping)



"Bitwise Encryption" (DM15, CGGI16)

Eval. of LUTs on {0,1}\* with bootstrapping on LWE ciphertext (<-> RLWE <- RGSW)

Large expansion rate (storage, cost)



"Approximate Encryption" (CKKS17)

Packing & SIMD operation over the real/complex numbers (add, mult + rounding) between RLWE ciphertexts

#### Application Researches of HE (2017~)

- Machine Learning & Neural Networks: 7
- Biomedical & Health data analysis: 3
- Bioinformatics: 3
- Genomic data analysis: 3
- Cyber Physical System & Internet of Things: 4
- Smart Grid: 3
- Image processing: 3
- Voting: 2

> 80 %

Advertising: 2

[Kim-Song-Kim-Lee-Cheon'18] iDASH Privacy & Security Competition 2017

Six minutes to train a logistic regression model from encrypted dataset of size 1579 \* (18+1).

## Bootstrapping of Approximate HE

Bootstrapping = Evaluation of Decryption circuit ?

### Bootstrapping of Approximate HE

Bootstrapping = Evaluation of Decryption circuit ?

Homomorphic operation of approximate HE induces a small "noise":

Homomorphic operation of approximate HE induces a small "noise":

Dec (ct) = M => HomEval (Dec (ct)) = Enc (M + e) Refreshed ciphertext encrypts an approximate value.

Homomorphic operation of approximate HE induces a small "noise":

Dec (ct) = M => HomEval (Dec (ct)) = Enc (M + e) Refreshed ciphertext encrypts an approximate value.

Dec (ct, sk) = <ct, sk> (mod q).

Homomorphic operation of approximate HE induces a small "noise":

Dec (ct) = M => HomEval (Dec (ct)) = Enc (M + e) Refreshed ciphertext encrypts an approximate value.

Dec (ct, sk) = <ct, sk> (mod q).
 Idea 1: <ct, sk> = q · t + M for some small |t| < K = |sk|<sub>1</sub>.
 ct = Enc (q · t + M) with a ciphertext modulus q' >> q.

Homomorphic operation of approximate HE induces a small "noise":

Dec (ct) = M => HomEval (Dec (ct)) = Enc (M + e) Refreshed ciphertext encrypts an approximate value.

Dec (ct, sk) = <ct, sk> (mod q).
Idea 1: <ct, sk> = q · t + M for some small  $|t| < K = |sk|_1$ .
ct = Enc (q · t + M) with a ciphertext modulus q' >> q.

How to (efficiently) evaluate the modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$ ?

• Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.

- Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.
- Naive solution: Lagrange interpolation on the domain (-Kq, Kq)

- Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.
- Naive solution: Lagrange interpolation on the domain (-Kq, Kq)

Efficiency Degree d = O(Kq), Complexity O(d) operations - exp. on the depth!

- Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.
- Naive solution: Lagrange interpolation on the domain (-Kq, Kq)
  - Efficiency Degree d = O(Kq), Complexity O(d) operations exp. on the depth! Correctness Large error on the boundary



• Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.



- Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.
- Modular Reduction is discontinuous when |M| = q/2.



• Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.

Modular Reduction is discontinuous when |M| = q/2.

Idea 2: Start bootstrapping when  $|M| \ll q$ .



- Goal: Represent modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M) \mapsto M$  as a circuit over the complex numbers.
- Modular Reduction is discontinuous when |M| = q/2.

Idea 2: Start bootstrapping when |M| << q. Use the formula  $M \approx (q/2\pi) \cdot sin [(2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)]$ .



• Goal: Evaluate  $M \approx (q/2\pi) \cdot \sin \theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2\pi K$ 



• Goal: Evaluate M  $\approx$  (q/2 $\pi$ )  $\cdot$  sin  $\theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2 \pi K$ 

• Naive solution: Taylor series approximation  $\sin \theta = \theta - (\theta^3/6) + (\theta^5/120) - ...$ 



• Goal: Evaluate M  $\approx$  (q/2 $\pi$ )  $\cdot$  sin  $\theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2 \pi K$ 

• Naive solution: Taylor series approximation  $\sin \theta = \theta - (\theta^3/6) + (\theta^5/120) - ...$ 

Degreed = O(Kq) to achieve  $R_d = O(1)$ .ComplexityO(Kq) operations.



• Goal: Evaluate M  $\approx$  (q/2 $\pi$ )  $\cdot$  sin  $\theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2 \pi K$ 

How to reduce the complexity?

• Goal: Evaluate M  $\approx$  (q/2 $\pi$ )  $\cdot$  sin  $\theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2 \pi K$ 

How to reduce the complexity?

Idea 3: Double-angle formula  $\cos \theta = \cos^2(\theta/2) - \sin^2(\theta/2), \quad \sin \theta = 2\cos(\theta/2) \cdot \sin(\theta/2).$ 

• Goal: Evaluate M  $\approx$  (q/2 $\pi$ )  $\cdot$  sin  $\theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2 \pi K$ 

How to reduce the complexity?

Idea 3: Double-angle formula  $\cos \theta = \cos^2(\theta/2) - \sin^2(\theta/2), \quad \sin \theta = 2\cos(\theta/2) \cdot \sin(\theta/2).$ 

> Low-degree Taylor series of  $cos(\theta/2^r)$ ,  $sin(\theta/2^r)$  for some r = O(log(Kq))& Recursive evaluation (r iterations) to get an approximate value of (sin  $\theta$ ).

• Goal: Evaluate M  $\approx$  (q/2 $\pi$ )  $\cdot$  sin  $\theta$  for  $\theta = (2\pi/q) (q \cdot t + M)$  such that  $|\theta| < 2 \pi K$ 

How to reduce the complexity?

Idea 3: Double-angle formula  $\cos \theta = \cos^2(\theta/2) - \sin^2(\theta/2), \quad \sin \theta = 2\cos(\theta/2) \cdot \sin(\theta/2).$ 

> Low-degree Taylor series of  $cos(\theta/2^r)$ ,  $sin(\theta/2^r)$  for some r = O(log(Kq))& Recursive evaluation (r iterations) to get an approximate value of (sin  $\theta$ ).

Efficiency

Depth: $L = r + O(1) = O(\log (Kq)).$ Complexity:O(L) operations. Linear on the depth!

#### Summary

- $ct = Enc(M) \pmod{q}$  is an encryption of  $(q \cdot t + M)$  in a large modulus.
- Approximation of Modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M)_q = M$  using a trigonometric function.
- Recursive evaluation strategy to reduce the computational costs.

#### Summary

- $ct = Enc(M) \pmod{q}$  is an encryption of  $(q \cdot t + M)$  in a large modulus.
- Approximation of Modular reduction  $(q \cdot t + M)_{q} = M$  using a trigonometric function.
- Recursive evaluation strategy to reduce the computational costs.
  - ✓ No Bootstrapping Key.
  - ✓ Linear Complexity on the depth L = O( $\log(|sk|_1 \cdot q)$ ) of decryption circuit.
  - ✓ Small Memory : 1 ciphertext encrypting exp ( $i \cdot \theta$ ) = cos  $\theta$  + i sin  $\theta$ .
  - ✓ Implication : Machine Learning, Cyber-Physical System

#### Comparison & Experimental Results

HS15, CH18	Coeff To Slots Õ(1) per slot	Bit/Digit Extraction	Slots To Coeff Õ(1) per slot
Ours		Sine Evaluation	

Digit Extraction: 6s (Z<sub>127</sub>). 30s (Z<sub>127</sub><sup>2</sup>). 15s (Z<sub>26</sub>). 239s (Z<sub>28</sub>).

Sine Evaluation: 12.5s (12-bit precision). 68s (24-bit precision).
 [Song-Han-Kim-Kim-Cheon 18] Full Residue Number System: 8x ~ 12x speedup

Accumulator: 0.06s (1 bit). 10s (6 bits)

